



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,290 11/09/2000		11/09/2000	Waldemar Hans	10191/1541	9759	
26646	7590	10/23/2003		EXAMINER		
KENYON	& KENY	YON	WILSON, LEE D			
ONE BRO		0004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3723	18	
				DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				Λ	K			
		Application	n N .	Applicant(s)				
		09/622,29	0	HANS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	┨			
		LEE D WII	.SON	3723				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondenc address				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply voly received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever unication. of days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the apply	nt, however, may a reply be tintory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from co	nsideration.					
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 18-20</u> is/are reject	ed.						
7)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are objected to).						
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election re	equirement.		İ			
Application	n Papers							
•	he specification is objected to by the							
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐	objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje		•					
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed			oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
,	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.						
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	ł			
•	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	Certified copies of the priority of							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	The translation of the foreign land							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	•		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/622,290 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11-15 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Provost (4551898)

Provost discloses a device having a jacket body (70), a contact section (38), a collar section (32) with threaded holes (42), a radial extension (40), and screws (54). The screws 54 can be used for both assembly and disassmbly because the tool can be positioned so that the screw will push on the top of the workpiece is stead of align with the threaded holes inherently.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/622,290 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Provost (4551898).
 - a. Provost is discussed above.
- b. In regard to claim 20, Strong discloses the claimed invention except for using deep drawn metal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the invention using deep drawn metal, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its

Art Unit: 3723

suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 5. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/21/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant has filed an appeal brief.
- a. The brief was considered and new art was applied as well as allowable subject matter being cited.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4094.

ldw

October 17, 2003